

Self-averaging of an order parameter in randomly coupled limit-cycle oscillators

J. C. Stiller

Institut für Biologie III, Universität Freiburg, and AG Hirnforschung, Hansastrasse 9a, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany

G. Radons*

*Fraunhofer Institut für Produktionstechnik und Automatisierung (FhG-IPA), Nobelstrasse 12, D-70569 Stuttgart, Germany
and Fakultät Physik, Universität Stuttgart, D-70049 Stuttgart, Germany*

(Received 11 August 1999)

In our recent paper [Phys. Rev. E **58**, 1789 (1998)] we found notable deviations from a power-law decay for the “magnetization” of a system of coupled phase oscillators with random interactions claimed by Daido in Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 1072 (1992). For another long-time property, the Lyapunov exponent, we found that his numerical procedure showed strong time discretization effects and we suspected a similar effect for the algebraic decay. In the Comment to our paper [preceding paper, Phys. Rev. E **61**, 2145 (2000)] Daido made clear that the power law behavior was only claimed for the sample averaged magnetization $[Z]$ and he presented new, more accurate numerical results which provide evidence for a power-law decay of this quantity. Our results, however, were obtained for Z itself and not for $[Z]$. In addition, we have taken the intrinsic oscillator frequencies as Gaussian random variables, while Daido in his new and apparently also in his earlier simulations used a deterministic approximation to the Gaussian distribution. Due to the differences in the observed quantity and the model assumptions our and Daido’s results may be compatible.

PACS number(s): 05.45.–a

The investigation of interacting biological oscillators was introduced by Winfree [1] several decades ago. Building on Winfree’s idea of a phase description, Kuramoto introduced a simple model of interacting phase oscillators [2–5], which could be solved analytically in the limit of $N \rightarrow \infty$ coupled oscillators. The dynamics of the phases of the oscillators are described by coupled first order differential equations:

$$\dot{\phi}_j(t) = \omega_j + \sum_i J_{ij} \sin[\phi_i(t) - \phi_j(t)], \quad (1)$$

where $\phi_j(t)$ denotes the phase of the j th oscillator, $\dot{\phi}_j(t)$ its time derivative, ω_j its natural (undisturbed) frequency, and J_{ij} the strength of the interactions between oscillator i and j , which in this model is assumed to be an uniform ferromagnetic all-to-all interaction, i.e., $J_{ij} = K/N$, with interaction strength $K \geq 0$. For $N \rightarrow \infty$, and a distribution function of frequencies $f(\omega) = \sum_{j=1}^N \delta(\omega - \omega_j)/N$, which is assumed to be symmetric, Kuramoto derived an analytic expression for the order parameter $Z = \sum_{j=1}^N \exp(i\phi_j)/N$. This order parameter is identical to the magnetization in the XY model of two-dimensional spins [6] and describes the synchronization of the oscillators.

Daido [7] investigated a version of (1) with spin-glass-type interactions analogous to SK models of spin glasses [9, 8]. J_{ij} were chosen as symmetric Gaussian random variables with zero mean and standard deviation J/\sqrt{N} , i.e., they obey $[J_{ij}] = 0$ and $[J_{ij}J_{kl}] = \delta_{i,k}\delta_{j,l}J^2/N$ with $[\cdot]$ denoting the ‘quenched’ average over the random variables, and $J \geq 0$ de-

noting the interaction strength. The frequencies ω_j are distributed according to a Gaussian distribution function $f(\omega) = \exp(-\omega^2/2)/\sqrt{2\pi}$.

A continuous distribution function $f(\omega)$ is only well-defined for $N \rightarrow \infty$ or for random variables ω_j . Although not stated in [7], in his numerical calculations (with finite N) Daido, in contrast to us [10], used nonrandom frequencies [see Eq. (3) in his comment [11]].

Daido investigated the decay of $Z(t)$ from the initial condition $Z(0) = 1$ [i.e., $\phi_j(0) = 0$ for all j] with numerical calculations, finding a transition from exponential to power-law decay. Since in his paper [7] Daido did not clearly distinguish between $|Z|$ and the sample averages $[[Z]]$ and $[[|Z|]]$, one could expect that by self-averaging an identical behavior is found for all three quantities [see also the remarks (11) and (12) in Daido’s comment [11]].

In our paper [10] we actually investigated a generalization of the model in [7]. We analyzed Eq. (1) with Gaussian random interaction strengths J_{ij} and random frequencies ω_j ,

$$[J_{ij}] = 0, [\omega_i] = \omega_0,$$

$$[J_{ij}J_{kl}] = (\delta_{ik}\delta_{j,l} + \delta_{i,l}\delta_{j,k}\eta)J^2/N, [\omega_i\omega_j] = \mu^2\delta_{i,j}. \quad (2)$$

For $\eta = 1$ the interaction is symmetric, for $\eta = -1$ antisymmetric, and for $\eta = 0$ the random variables J_{ij} and J_{ji} are uncorrelated. From the motivation of this model by interacting biological oscillators (see also the first paragraph of [11]) random frequencies ω_j are certainly a natural choice.

We were mainly concerned with deriving a dynamic mean field theory and investigating the dynamic properties such as the occurrence of chaos. Among other quantities we also investigated the decay of the order parameter Z (denoted m in [10]). Since the quenched average $[Z]$ may not be relevant

*Electronic address: gr@ipa.fhg.de

for a system of coupled oscillators (one realization of the random variables), unless Z is self-averaging, we investigated Z itself. For symmetric interactions ($\eta=1$) we did find deviations from a power law for all but one critical interaction strength.

We showed that the numerical procedure used by Daido (Euler integration scheme with time discretization $\Delta t = 10^{-2}$) caused strong time discretization effects for another long-time property, the Lyapunov exponent, which were not present for the numerical procedure of higher-order and smaller time discretization used in our calculations, and we suspected a similar effect for the algebraic decay.

In his comment Daido presents new results with a numerical procedure of higher order, a larger number of oscillators and more realizations of the random variables, which provide evidence that $|[Z(t)]|$ indeed obeys a power law.

Since it is not claimed by Daido that $Z(t)$ is also obeying a power law, and also slightly different systems were investigated (random vs nonrandom natural frequencies ω_j) there are no contradictions with our results. As the results in our paper [10] and Daido's comment [11] suggest that Z might not be self-averaging, future research should be devoted to this question. Also the question whether the (non-) randomness of the natural frequencies ω_j leads to different results deserves further investigations. Finally we emphasize that we agree with Daido on the importance of finite size effects in this system (see, e.g., our Fig. 3 in [10]). In our opinion, however, the differences between Daido's results and ours are not a question of finite-size effects but should rather be considered as a hint to a more complex, non-self-averaging behavior in this system.

[1] A. T. Winfree. *J. Theor. Biol.* **16**, 15 (1967).

[2] Y. Kuramoto, *Chemical Oscillations, Waves and Turbulence* (Springer, Berlin, 1984).

[3] Y. Kuramoto and I. Nishikawa, *J. Stat. Phys.* **49**, 569 (1987);.

[4] Y. Kuramoto, *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **79**, 223 (1984);.

[5] Y. Kuramoto and H. Sakaguchi. *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **76**, 576 (1986);.

[6] G. Toulouse and M. Gabay, *J. Phys. C* **42**, L103 (1981).

[7] H. Daido, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68**, 1073 (1992).

[8] D. Sherrington and S. Kirkpatrick, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **35**, 1792 (1975).

[9] D. Sherrington and S. Kirkpatrick. *Phys. Rev. B* **17**, 4384 (1978).

[10] J. C. Stiller and G. Radons, *Phys. Rev. E* **58**, 1789 (1998).

[11] H. Daido, preceding paper, *Phys. Rev. E* **61**, 2145 (2000).